

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/018,391	Applicant(s) KLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Steve Benjamin.

Date of Interview: December 12, 2003

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
 11, 30 and 31

 Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
To amend claim 11 to positively recite the UV irradiation of the layer to radically polymerize the double bonds; to correct minor informalities in claims 30-31; and to add headings into the specification by an examiner's amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)